ADULTS, CHILDREN AND HEALTH OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY PANEL

WEDNESDAY, 29 JANUARY 2020

PRESENT: Councillors Simon Bond, Carole Da Costa, Maureen Hunt, Julian Sharpe (Chairman) and John Story (Vice-Chairman)

Also in attendance: Councillors Gurpreet Bhangra, Catherine Del Campo, Stuart Carroll, Geoffrey Hill, David Hilton, Lynne Jones, Amy Tisi and Simon Werner

Officers: Mark Beeley, Hilary Hall, Lynne Lidster, Kevin McDaniel and Duncan Sharkey

APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

Apologies for absence were received from Mark Jervis, Tony Wilson and Councillor Johnson.

DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

The Chairman declared an interest that he was a trustee of an organisation that would let some of its rooms to a college that was mentioned in the Ofsted report.

MINUTES

RESOLVED UNANIMOUSLY; That the minutes of the meeting held on 19th September 2019 be approved an accurate record.

ADULT SOCIAL CARE TRANSFORMATION PROGRAMME

RESOLVED UNANIMOUSLY; The Panel agreed to amend the order of items, with the first item to be considered being Item 7. It was also agreed that Item 5 – Budget 2020/21 would then be the next item considered before proceeding with the rest of the items on the agenda.

Councillor Carroll, Lead Member for Adult Social Care, Children's Services, Health and Mental Health, said that the report was looking at how to transform the Adult Social Care service. There were some pressures that had to be considered, particularly as there was an ageing population. There was a greater focus on prevention and community, especially with regard to isolation and mental health.

Hilary Hall, Director of Adults, Health and Commissioning, said that the programme was structured around six work streams. The focus was on delivering strengths based practise and harnessing technology to utilise it in the best way possible.

Councillor Story asked for clarity on the residents and communities that were mentioned as part of the outcomes for the programme. Hilary Hall said that it involved a wide variety of groups, including parish councils, and underlined that listening to the community was vital as partnership was important to delivering services.

Councillor Story asked if the integrated care system was a formal structure. Hilary Hall explained that it was and that the Royal Borough was a key partner in the Frimley Integrated Care System along with the other East Berkshire local authorities and health organisations.

The Chairman asked if people were slipping through the net. He was told that it was always a challenge to ensure that no one was left behind but it was anticipated that the strategy would meet the challenge. A further question asked about the timescale for the programme. Hilary

Hall said that it was a transformation and therefore things would change over time. It was unlikely the programme would have a 'final stage'.

Councillor Hunt queried the recruitment and training of staff, and asked if the programme was using agency workers. Hilary Hall said that there was not a huge number of agency staff, but in other areas they were struggling to recruit, for example in care homes.

Councillor Da Costa agreed that recruiting could often prove to be challenging but that is was good that the programme was using providers that use the living wage. She asked if there would be an external evaluation of the programme. Hilary Hall said that some evaluation would be done by the programme board and some by the Panel.

Councillor Da Costa asked a further question about dementia and what the future plan was. Hilary Hall said that it was a real issue and as part of future proofing they had an ambition to ensure that residents were living healthier for longer.

Councillor Carroll said that there was a prevention theme in the programme and that the government had recently passed a green paper about prevention, which would soon be moving to white paper, showing that there was a significant focus on prevention activities. In terms of financing, things like the Better Care Fund should be utilised, but more dedicated policy thinking was needed.

The Panel noted the report.

BUDGET 2020/21

Councillor Story said that the Panel had a direct responsibility for vulnerable people and that two of the changes that had been made in the proposed budget were applicable to the directorate.

Before the main debate on the item, the Chairman invited two members of the public to speak on the item. Janet Hayes-Brown explained that her son had a disability but had benefitted from 'Ways into Work' which had helped him gain a job. The service provided ongoing support for those that were part of the scheme, and there was concern that the budget cuts would affect the level and quality of the service that Ways into Work provided.

Becky Beechen, who was representing Ways into Work, explained to the Panel that funding was needed to continue to provide employment opportunities. RBWM had been closing the disability employment gap and support had been provided to over 90 participants on the programme. A reduced workforce would not be able to provide the same level of support and service as before and this would directly affect the opportunities available.

Councillor Carroll, Deputy Chairman of Cabinet, Adult Social Care, Children's Services, Health and Mental Health, said that the council was not looking to remove things that were already there and was looking instead to transform and change existing services. He said that it was important to still deliver a good quality of service to residents but to also gain value for money.

Councillor C Da Costa asked if the changes that had been made would therefore have been made anyway. Councillor Carroll said that they would have due to the financial pressure that the council currently found itself in. He passed on his gratitude for the work that Ways into Work had done but said that the strategy was to consider which services needed to be outsourced. By working with Opatlis, RBWM could save money while still providing the same high level of service as Ways into Work.

Hilary Hall said that they wanted to work with Ways into Work and form a close partnership.

Councillor Story stated that he would like to know more about the youth and early services that the council provided, as well as placements for children.

Kevin McDaniel, Director of Children's Services, said that placements are covered by third party providers to look after children in care. They were focussing on work which would help to promote independent living and they were optimistic that the amount of money spent could be streamlined.

Councillor C Da Costa said that it was important that young children in care were ready and well equipped to live independent lives.

Kevin McDaniel confirmed that Ofsted had recently undertaken a standard inspection to ensure that children were achieving the expected levels and the result of this inspection would be available towards the end of February. He also told Members that a Cabinet paper would be created which would be a consultation on how RBWM could combine services into a 'family hub'. This would enable more efficient targeting in services for the most vulnerable in society and a reduction in the number of duplicated services that were being offered.

Councillor Tisi said that by already making the saving in the budget, the process of holding a consultation was pointless as the decision had already been made.

Kevin McDaniel said that all services were open between set times and that they were not closing down any routes which would enable access to these services for the most vulnerable in society.

Councillor Werner commented that he believed the consultation to be pointless as the savings had already been agreed. Councillor Carroll reiterated his commitment to holding a consultation.

Councillor Del Campo asked about those children that 'slipped through the net' and were not picked up their families, and what support they would now be getting. Kevin McDaniel said that there was a wide degree of training in order to spot any signs at sessions.

Councillor Tisi asked what would happen to parent led groups at children's centres. She was told that children centre buildings were well used but did not know which services were valued.

Councillor Jones expressed concern about the area that would be invested, saying that in 2012 there was a cut of £400,000 but this was not built back into the Budget.

Hilary Hall said that there would be investment in prevention and ensuring that residents in the borough were stay healthier for longer. Councillor Carroll said that he thought the savings were achievable.

Councillor Werner said that Optalis had not yet confirmed what their proposals would be regarding Ways into Work. He said that he would need to see the plans before he could support the Budget.

Councillor Carroll responded by saying that there would be investment in the service through Optalis and the new service would be operational from 1st May 2020.

Duncan Sharkey, Managing Director, said that the council needed to continue to deliver excellent services and that additional resources would be needed to deliver on the change.

Councillor Tisi asked if there was sufficient incentives offered that would encourage social workers to come and work in the borough. Kevin McDaniel said that it was a high priority and that they needed an organisation where it was safe for social workers to practise.

Councillor Jones said the council needed to ensure that the terms and conditions of contracts were attractive, as it was the conditions rather than the money which were important in retaining social workers to a particular area.

At the end of item, the Panel noted the recommendation and agreed that comments made in the debate on the item would be passed on to Cabinet for consideration.

ASCOT MEDICAL CENTRE

Hilary Hall informed the Panel that she would provide an update on the Ascot Medical Centre. Construction started in January, with a 25 week build scheduled. There was going to be significant investment in technology at the site and it would be aligned with the Ascot Plan. Hilary Hall said that Alex Tilley from the Clinical Commissioning Group was happy to meet with Members to discuss the centre further.

Councillor Hilton, Lead Member for Finance, said that in this ward there were two GP surgeries in the same building which could create savings and be more cost efficient. Hilary Hall said that occupation was not for a while after construction had finished and therefore there was scope to influence what services were provided in the centre, including creating a hub for the people of Ascot.

The Panel decided that an update on the Lynwood Clinic would be put on the work programme and considered at a future meeting.

Q2 PERFORMANCE UPDATE REPORT

Hilary Hall introduced the report and explained that most of the indicators were on track. One was red, four were orange and the rest were green. In Q3, the results had significantly improved, this included things like the performance on delayed transfers of care where performance had dipped due to the loss of a domiciliary care provider, but was now back on track.

The Chairman asked if there was anything that had not been highlighted that the Panel should be aware of. Kevin McDaniel said that there was nothing that he would draw the Panel's attention to but they looked at a huge amount of data in the creation of the report.

Councillor Bond asked if all areas of public health were covered in the report. Hilary Hall said that it covered public health in its entirety but a few key themes had been picked out. They were measured by Public Health England.

The Chairman suggested that it could be possible to organise an extra meeting to analyse the content of the report, particularly areas of public health. Councillor Carroll said that it could be done in collaboration with the NHS and members of the Health and Wellbeing Board could be invited.

The Panel noted the report.

OFSTED AND CQC INSPECTION REPORT

Kevin McDaniel explained to the Panel that the last Ofsted report was in 2017 which had discovered significant weaknesses in certain areas. In the 2019 report, 6 of the 8 areas that had been identified as weaknesses had made significant progress. The leadership at the school had now tackled the issues that they had previously faced. The Department of Education would take over checking progress, which would happen every six months.

The Chairman said that it was pleasing to see that progress had been made between the two Ofsted reports.

WORK PROGRAMME

The Panel add	rood that the to	Mati pauvalla	Would be a	adt at babb	work programme:
THE LAHELAY	reed that the it	mowing item	Would be at	aded to the	work programme.

Update on Lynwood Clinic

It was agreed that the Annual Scrutiny Report would be discussed in a separate working group, which would be arranged in due course.

The resident suggested scrutiny topic was deferred to a future meeting.

The meeting, which began at 6.30 pm, finishe	ed at 8.55 pm
	CHAIRMAN
	DATE